

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/980,487	12/03/2001	Horst Mueller	1454.1118	5604
21171	7590 12/07/2005		EXAMINER	
STAAS & HALSEY LLP			DUONG, DUC T	
SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			2663	
			DATE MAILED: 12/07/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/980,487	MUELLER, HORST
navisory nodeli	Examiner	Art Unit
	Duc T. Duong	2663
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 16 November 2005 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this application and avoid abandonment of this application.	ation. A proper reply to a hplaces the application in
PERIOD FOR R	REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires <u>4</u> months from the mailing da	-	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offitimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	e later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing SFILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for reply fice later than three months after the mai	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension out of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	, ,,	.,
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	,	,,
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request fo application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		o issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed: <u>22-25</u> .		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>14-21 and 26</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	<b>_</b> ∴ ∆
10. Other:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Tha.
		RICKY Q. NGO

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The newly added limitation "remove overhead information from the STM-N frames" to claims 17 and 26 in line 3 has altered the bound and scope of the claims, and thus would require further search/consideration. Regarding to applicant's argument that since more detailed limitations are recited in other claims, no additional search will be required. In response, the newly added limitations does not appear in any other claims, and thus additional search/consideration will be required. Furthermore, there is no correlation between the more detailed limitations in other claims and the newly added limitation in claims 17 and 26.